arXiv:1212.6557v1 [math.AG] 28 Dec 2012

GRADED COHEN–MACAULAY RINGS OF WILD REPRESENTATION TYPE

OLEKSII TOVPYHA

Abstract. Sufficient conditions for a graded Cohen–Macaulay ring and respectively for an ACM projective variety to be of wild representation type are given.

1. Introduction

There is a long-standing problem to classify Cohen–Macaulay rings from the point of view of representation theory, namely it is conjectured that every Cohen–Macaulay ring is either of finite, tame or wild representation type. It is expected to hold in general despite being proved only in certain special cases. In this paper we give a sufficient condition for graded Cohen–Macaulay ring to be of wild representation type. Applying it for arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay (ACM) subschemes $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ (i.e. with Cohen–Macaulay coordinate rings) we also get simple conditions in terms of n and $\deg X$ for ACM hypersurfaces and complete intersections of higher codimension to be of wild representation type with respect to classification of ACM sheaves.

A Cohen–Macaulay ring R is called of *finite representation type* if it has, up to twist and isomorphism, only a finite number of indecomposable Cohen–Macaulay modules. In the graded case such rings were completely classified by Eisenbud and Herzog [8]. For the definition of *tame represenation type* we refer the reader to the survey [5]. Note that the only known examples of varieties of tame representation type are elliptic curves and its degenerations called Kodaira cycles. The representation type of projective curves was completely studied by Drozd and Greuel [7].

Since the term "wild" is used in algebra in some very different senses we give the precise definition of wildness for graded Cohen–Macaulay rings we will deal with.

From now on let R be a Cohen–Macaulay ring of Krull dimension d that is positively graded and generated in degree 1, we suppose that $R_0 = k$ is a field, $R = \oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_i$ and denote $\mathfrak{m} = \oplus_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i$ its irrelevant ideal. Note that k is not assumed to be algebraically closed or to have characteristic 0. All R-modules are assumed to be graded and all algebras are considered over k. Also we will always consider R together with corresponding projective variety $X = \mathrm{Proj} R$.

Definition. For a k-algebra Λ a finitely generated graded R-Λ-bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ is called *a family of Cohen–Macaulay R-modules over* Λ if for every finite-dimensional Λ-module L the R-module $\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L$ is Cohen–Macaulay and $\mathcal{M}$ is flat over Λ.

We say that a Cohen–Macaulay ring R is *of wild representation type* if for every finitely generated k-algebra Λ there exists a family of Cohen–Macaulay R-modules $\mathcal{M}$ such that the following conditions hold:

1. For every indecomposable Λ-module L the R-module $\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L$ is indecomposable.
2. If $\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L'$ for some finite dimensional Λ-modules L and L', then $L \simeq L'$.

Such family $\mathcal{M}$ is called *strict*.

Remark. It is known that if there exist a strict family of Cohen–Macaulay R-modules over some wild (in the sense of the representation theory of algebras) algebra A, then it exists for all finitely generated algebras, see [6], Prop. 5.3. Some examples of wild algebras are the free algebra $k\langle x, y\rangle$, power series algebra $k[[x, y]]$, polynomial algebra $k[x, y]$ and the Drozd ring $k[x, y]/(x^2, xy^2, y^3)$.

If R is wild in this sense, then it is also "geometrically wild" in the sense that there are flat families of non-isomorphic indecomposable Cohen–Macaulay R-modules with bases of arbitrary large dimensions. It is not known (though conjectured) whether "geometric wildness" implies the wildness in the above sense. For instance, Casanellas and Hartshorne [3] have proved that any smooth cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ is "geometrically wild". It has never been proved that it is algebraically wild too. Other examples of geometrically wild varieties for which their algebraic wildness has not been proved are in [4, 9, 10, 11].

2. The Main Theorem

Consider an R-sequence $(y) = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$ with $\deg y_i = m$ and denote $\bar{R} = R/(y)R = \oplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{R}_i$. Then $\bar{R}$ is a finite-dimensional k-algebra and $\dim_k \bar{R}_i$ does not depend on the choice of (y).

Theorem. *If there exists $c > d(m-1)+1$ such that $\dim_k \bar{R}_c > 2$, then R is of wild representation type.*

First we formulate one simple lemma that will be needed later. We omit the proof since it follows directly from Nakayama's lemma.

Lemma. *Let F be a free R-module, L be a finitely generated R-module, $\varphi : L \to F$ and the induced map of k-spaces $\bar{\varphi} : L/\mathfrak{m}L \to F/\mathfrak{m}F$ is injective. Then φ is also injective and $F \simeq \mathrm{Im}\varphi \oplus F'$, where F' is free.*

The proof of the theorem is mainly based on the next statement that is similar to Theorem A from [8] and is proved in almost the same way. For an R-module A we denote nA the direct sum of n copies of A.

Proposition. *Let W, W' be k-subspaces of $n\bar{R}$ with basis from $n\bar{R}_c$ where c is as above, and let $M = n\bar{R}/\langle W\rangle$, $M' = n\bar{R}/\langle W'\rangle$ be corresponding graded R-modules. Then $M \simeq M'$ if and only if $\Omega^d(M) \simeq \Omega^d(M')$ where $\Omega^d(M)$ and $\Omega^d(M')$ are the d-th syzygies of M and M' over R.*

Proof. We need to prove only that $\Omega^d(M) \simeq \Omega^d(M')$ implies $M \simeq M'$ because the converse is obvious.

Consider the Koszul complex $K_\bullet$ of the R-sequence (y). Then $K_i \simeq b_iR(-mi)$, where graded Betti numbers $b_i = \binom{d}{i}$ and $nK_\bullet$ is a minimal graded free R-resolution of $n\bar{R}$ with differentials ∂_i. Consider also the graded minimal free R-resolution $F_\bullet$ of M with differentials δ_i. Then $F_i \simeq \oplus_j nR(-d_{ij})$ with $d_{ij} \geq mi$. The natural epimorphism $\varphi : n\bar{R} \to M$ induces a homogeneous homomorphism of complexes $\varphi_\bullet : nK_\bullet \to F_\bullet$.

First we will prove by induction on i that the induced maps $\tilde{\varphi}_i : (nK_i)/\mathfrak{m}(nK_i) \to F_i/\mathfrak{m}F_i$ are injective for all i. By Lemma this will imply that φ_i are injective and $F_i \simeq nK_i \oplus F'_i$, where F'_i are free.

For $i = 0$ the statement is trivial, because $nK_0 = F_0$. Suppose that $i > 0$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{i-1}$ is injective. Consider $\tilde{x} \in \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\varphi}_i), \tilde{x} \neq 0$ and let $x \in nK_i$ be a representative of the residue class $\tilde{x}$. Since $\deg x = mi$, it follows that $\deg \varphi_i(x) = mi$. Therefore $\varphi_i(x) = 0$, because otherwise $\varphi_i(x)$ belongs to a homogeneous basis of F_i (and all elements of F_i are of degree $\geq mi$), what's impossible since $\tilde{\varphi}_i(\tilde{x}) = 0$.

Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
x \in nK_i \arrow[r, "\partial_i"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_i"] & nK_{i-1} \arrow[d, "\varphi_{i-1}"] \\
F_i \arrow[r, "\delta_i"] & F_{i-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We see that $0 = \delta_i(\varphi_i(x)) = \varphi_{i-1}(\partial_i(x))$ and φ_{i-1} is injective by the induction hypothesis, therefore $\partial_i(x) = 0$. This implies that $x \in$

$\mathrm{Ker}(\delta'_i) \subset \mathfrak{m}(nK_i)$ by the definition of minimal free resolution and $\tilde{x} = 0$.

So, we have proved that $F_i \simeq nK_i \oplus F'_i$. Since the resolution $F_\bullet$ is minimal it follows that the minimal degree of generators of F_i equals the minimal degree of generators of F_{i-1} plus at least 1. $F'_1 = nR(-c)$ and it can easily be checked that all homogeneous generators of F'_d are of degree $> md$.

Therefore we have a minimal presentation $nK_d \oplus F'_d \to \Omega^d(M) \to 0$ with $K_d = R(-md)$.

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^d(M) \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_{d-1} \to \Omega^{d-1}(M) \to 0$$

Applying $\otimes_R \bar{R}$ to it we get the next exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ker}(\bar{\alpha}) \to \bar{\Omega}^d(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{F}_{d-1} \to \bar{\Omega}^{d-1}(M) \to 0$$

And so we have $\mathrm{Ker}(\bar{\alpha}) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(\Omega^{d-1}(M), \bar{R}) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_d^R(M, \bar{R})$.

From the minimal graded free resolution

$$0 \to K_d \xrightarrow{\partial_d} K_{d-1} \to \ldots \to K_0 \to \bar{R} \to 0$$

we get $\mathrm{Tor}_d^R(M, \bar{R}) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_d)$, where $\bar{\partial}_d : K_d \otimes_R M \to K_{d-1} \otimes_R M$ is induced by ∂_d.

Since $(y)M = 0$, we get $\bar{\partial}_d = 0$, thus $\mathrm{Tor}_d^R(M, \bar{R}) \simeq K_d \otimes_R M \simeq M(-md)$, because $K_d \simeq R(-md)$. Therefore $\mathrm{Ker}\bar{\alpha}$ is generated in degree md. On the other hand, all elements of degree md in $\bar{\Omega}^d(M)$ come from nK_d. Since $\mathrm{Im}(\partial_d) \subset (y)K_{d-1}$, so $\bar{\partial}_d = 0$ and all of them are in $\mathrm{Ker}(\bar{\alpha})$. Therefore $M(-md)$ is isomorphic to the submodule of $\bar{\Omega}^d(M)$ generated by all elements of degree md. This implies the statement of the proposition. □

Now we can prove the main theorem:

Proof. Choose c as in the statement of the theorem, so that $\dim \bar{R}_c \geq 3$ and denote $\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3$ some fixed linear independent elements from the k-space $\bar{R}_c$.

Consider the finite-dimensional algebra $\Lambda = k[u, v]/(u^2, uv^2, v^3)$ that is wild from the point of view of the representation theory of algebras. Denote $V = V(u, v) \subset \bar{R} \otimes_k \Lambda$ a Λ-module generated by $\bar{e}_1 + u\bar{e}_2 + v\bar{e}_3$.

Consider a graded $\bar{R} \otimes_k \Lambda$-module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(u, v) = \bar{R} \otimes_k \Lambda / V(u, v)$. Show that $\mathcal{M}$ as R-Λ-bimodule is a strict family of Cohen–Macaulay R-modules over Λ.

Consider the minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{M}$ over $R \otimes_k \Lambda$:

$$(1) \qquad \ldots \to F_n \to \ldots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to \mathcal{M} \to 0$$

If L is a finite-dimensional Λ-module then $N \otimes_\Lambda L = \bar{R} \otimes_\Lambda L / V \otimes_\Lambda L$. Note that $V \simeq \Lambda$ as Λ-module, hence $\mathcal{M}(u, v)$ is flat over Λ.

Applying $\otimes_\Lambda L$ to (1) we get:

$$\ldots \to F_n \otimes_\Lambda L \to \ldots \to F_1 \otimes_\Lambda L \to F_0 \otimes_\Lambda L \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L \to 0$$

It is the minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L$. Note that $\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L$ is Cohen–Macaulay since $\Omega^d(\mathcal{M})$ is always Cohen–Macaulay. Since $V \otimes_\Lambda L$ is generated in degree m we can apply our Proposition to modules $M = \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L$ and $M' = fM \otimes_\Lambda L'$ for some finite-dimensional Λ-modules L, L'. Hence $\Omega^d(\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L) = \Omega^d(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_\Lambda L$ and $\Omega^d(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_\Lambda L \simeq \Omega^d(\mathcal{M}) \otimes_\Lambda L'$ if and only if $N \otimes_\Lambda L \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L'$. And it is easy to see that if $\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L'$ then there exists an isomorphism $\phi : \bar{R} \otimes_\Lambda L \to \bar{R} \otimes_\Lambda L'$ such that $\phi(V \otimes_\Lambda L) = V \otimes_\Lambda L'$.

If L is given by $u \mapsto A_u$, $v \mapsto A_v$, where A_u, A_v are $n \times n$ matrices over k then $V \otimes_\Lambda L$ is generated by the columns of $\bar{e}_1 I + \bar{e}_2 A_u + \bar{e}_3 A_v$, where I denotes $n \times n$ identity matrix. Then ϕ is $n \times n$ matrix with coefficients from $\bar{R}$ and $\phi(V \otimes_\Lambda L) = V \otimes_\Lambda L'$ means that $\phi(\bar{e}_1 I + \bar{e}_2 A_u + \bar{e}_3 A_v) = (\bar{e}_1 I + \bar{e}_2 A'_u + \bar{e}_3 A'_v)T$ for some invertible T.

Then $\phi(\bar{e}_1 I + \bar{e}_2 A_u + \bar{e}_3 A_v) = (\bar{e}_1 I + \bar{e}_2 A'_u + \bar{e}_3 A'_v)T$, where ϕ_0, T_0 are degree 0 components of ϕ, T. Since $\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3$ are linearly independent we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 I &= I T_0, & \phi_0 &= T_0 \\ \phi_0 A_u &= A'_u T_0, & A'_u &= \phi_0 A_u \phi_0^{-1} \\ \phi_0 A_v &= A'_v T_0, & A'_v &= \phi_0 A_v \phi_0^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

That is $L \simeq L'$. Moreover, we showed that any homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L \to \mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L'$ comes from the homomorphism $\phi_0 : L \to L'$. If ϕ_0 was a nontrivial idempotent then ϕ is also a nontrivial idempotent. I.e. if $\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L$ is decomposable so and L is decomposable.

Just in the same way one can show that if L is indecomposable, $\mathcal{M} \otimes_\Lambda L$ is indecomposable as well, what had to be proved. ☐

3. Corollaries

We will exclude cases when ideals I_X have generators of degree 1 and so X is really embedded in the projective space of lower dimension.

Corollary 1. *Suppose that an ACM hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ $(n \geq 2)$ has degree $e \geq 4$. Then X is of wild representation type.*

Proof. Consider $R = k[X] = k[x_0, \ldots, x_n]/(f)$, where $\deg f = e$. We see that $\dim R = n$. Without lose of generality assume that f contains terms with x_0, then $x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2$ is an R-sequence. Denote $\bar{R} =$

$R/(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)$. Then $\bar{R}_{n+2} = \langle x_0^{n+2}, x_0^{n+1}x_1, \dots, x_0^{n+1}x_n \rangle$ with generators being linearly independent over k. Therefore $\dim_k \bar{R}_n = n+1$ and by Theorem X is of wild representation type for $n \geq 2$. □

Note that similar result for local rings was obtained by V.V. Bondarenko in [1], [2] using the technique of matrix factorizations.

Corollary 2. *Suppose that a complete intersection $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ has codimension k and is defined by polynomials of degrees $d_i > 1$. If $k = 2$ and all $d_i \geq 3$ or if $k \geq 3$ then X is of wild representation type.*

Proof. Consider $R = k[X] = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_k)$. Then $\dim R = n - k + 1$ and $x_k, \dots, x_n$ is an R-sequence. Denote $\bar{R} = R/(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)$. It is easy to see that $\bar{R}_2$ has $\binom{k}{2} + \binom{k}{1} = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ homogeneous generators with at most k relations on them. Obviously, we have $\dim \bar{R}_2 = \binom{k}{2} \geq 3$ for $k \geq 3$ and $\dim_k \bar{R}_2 = 3$ for $k = 2$, $d_i \geq 3$. Hence X is of wild representation type. □

Corollary 3. *Let $S(k,l) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, $n = k + l + 1$ be a rational normal scroll not of the form $S(0,1)$, $S(1,1)$ or $S(1,2)$. Then $S(k,l)$ is of wild representation type.*

Proof. As in the previous corollaries we denote $R = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]/I_{S(k,l)}$ the graded coordinate ring of $S(k,l)$ and consider its factor $\bar{R}$ by the exact sequence (y) of the following form: $(y) = (x_0, x_{k+1}, x_n)$. Simple computations as above show that $\bar{R}_2$ has more than 3 generators and so conditions of the Theorem are satisfied except the cases $(k,l) = (0,1), (1,1)$ or $(1,2)$. □

Remark. Note that in the paper [9] it was proved that $S(k,l)$ is geometrically wild if (k,l) is not $(0,1)$, $(1,1)$ or $(1,2)$. The rational normal scrolls $S(0,1) \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$, $S(1,1)$ and $S(1,2)$ are of finite representation type, see [8].

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to professor Y. Drozd for the main idea of the paper and for the constant attention to this work.

REFERENCES

[1] V.V. Bondarenko, *On classification of CM modules over hypersurface singularities*, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics. **1** (2007), 1–12.

[2] V.V. Bondarenko, *Applications of Matrix Problems in Group Theory and Algebraic Geometry*, PhD thesis, Institute of Mathematics of National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, (2008) (in Ukrainian).

[3] M. Casanellas and R. Hartshorne, *ACM bundles on cubic surfaces*, Journal of the European Mathematical Soc. **13** (2011), 709–731.

[4] L. Costa, R.M. Miro-Roig, and J. Pons-Llopis, *The the representation type of Segre varieties*, Adv. in Math. **230** (2012), 1995–2013.

[5] Y. Drozd, *Cohen–Macaulay Modules over Cohen–Macaulay Algebras*, Representation Theory of Algebras and Related Topics, CMS Conference Proceedings. **19** (1996), 25–53.

[6] Y. Drozd, *Reduction algorithm and representations of boxes and algebras*, Comtes Rendue Math. Acad. Sci. Canad. **23** (2001), 97–125.

[7] Y. Drozd, G.-M. Greuel, *Tame and Wild Projective Curves and Classification of Vector Bundles*, Journal of Algebra. **246** (2001), 1–54.

[8] D. Eisenbud, J. Herzog, *The classification of homogeneous Cohen–Macaulay rings of finite representation type*, Mathematische Annalen. **280** (1988), no.2, 347–352.

[9] R.M. Miro-Roig, *The representation type of rational normal scrolls*, Rendiconti del Circolo di Palermo, to appear.

[10] R.M. Miro-Roig and J. Pons-Llopis, *N-dimensional Fano varieties of wild representation type*, Preprint, available from arXiv:1011.3704.

[11] R.M. Miro-Roig and J. Pons-Llopis, *Representation type of rational ACM surfaces $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$*, Algebras and Representation Theory, to appear.

Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences, Kyiv, Ukraine
E-mail address: `tovpyha@gmail.com`